

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/903,743	07/31/1997	TIMOTHY MERRICK LONG	169.0568	2593
5514 7.	590 08/27/2003			
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			EXAMINER	
	ROCKEFELLER PLAZA W YORK, NY 10112		PAULA, CESAR B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2178	
			DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003	· L

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	l l					
Υ'	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	08/903,743	LONG ET AL.				
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit				
	CESAR B PAULA	2178				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the c rrespondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 22 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 3-4, 7, 9-12, 27-29, 31-33, 38, a</u>	<u>nd 42-53</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
O. Other: STEPHENS. HONG PRIMARY EXAMINER						





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The Applicants point out that the cited prior art fails to teach or suggest forming a continuous printable document by collating a plurality of hyper-text documents, and a monitoring application used to identify access patterns of a second application, which operates independently from the monitoring application (page 14, lines 2-6). The Examiner disagrees, because Nehab teaches the manual compilation of a list of hypertext documents for cutomizing web pages (col.3, line 15-col.4, lines 67, col.13, line 12-67, fig. 9A). Nehab fails to explicitly disclose: (a) monitoring a second application operating independently of said first application on said local machine, to identify access patterns of the second application and accessing the hyper-text documents including structure information. However, Davis discloses the creation of a customized web page based upon the monitoring, and tracking of user(s) interes patterns as selected on a web browser or second application (c.14,L.1-67). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teachings of Nehab and Davis, because Davis teaches providing customized web pages, such as news, sports, entertainment pages, etc, without the necessity of active user's involvement, so as to provide customized web pages without the user's involvement.